

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/729,010

12/04/2000

Michael Ficco

PD-200235

6750

7590

11/18/2004

Hughes Electronics Corporation Patent Docket Administration P.O. Box 956 Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109 El Segundo, CA 90245-0956

EXAMINER

HOFFMAN, BRANDON S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/729,010	FICCO, MICHAEL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brandon Hoffman	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status 1)⊠	Posnonsivo to communication(s) filed on 20.	uno 2004		
اطرا [2a]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $30 J$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes Thi	s action is non-final.		
·	,		recognition as to the morite is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,5-9,12,17-20,33,37-42,44,48-51 and 54-69 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-9,12,17-20,33,37-42,44,48-51 and 54-69</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/729,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 5-9, 12, 17-20, 33, 37-42, 44, 48-51, and 54-69 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2004, for a request for reconsideration has been granted. A new ground of rejection has been made.

Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. <u>Claims 1, 5-9, 12, 17-20, 33, 37-42, 44, 48-51, 54-57, 59-63, and 69</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tsukamoto et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,796,828) in view of <u>Yim</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,810,387).

Regarding <u>claims 1, 33, and 44, Tsukamoto et al.</u> teaches a method/apparatus for storing and retrieving digital data within a hardware platform (figure 2), the method comprising:

- Receiving data bits across a bus, the data bits forming a bit pattern (figure 2, reference numbers 103 and 20);
- Altering the bit pattern of the data bits (figure 2, reference number 22);

Storing the altered data bits (figure 2, reference numbers 23A, 24, and 40);

Restoring the altered data bits to the bit pattern (figure 2, reference number 25);
 and

• Outputting the restored data bits (figure 2, reference numbers 26 and 105).

<u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> does not teach wherein the altering comprises one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits.

Yim teaches wherein the altering comprises one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine wherein the altering comprises one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits, as taught by Yim, with the method/apparatus of Tsukamoto et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because inverting/scrambling bits in selected bit positions prevents the data from being copied off of a hard disk drive (see col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38 of Yim).

Application/Control Number: 09/729,010

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 5 and 37, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches wherein the altering and the restoring are performed by a hard disk drive interface (see figure 2, reference number 23A of Tsukamoto et al.).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 17, 18, 38, 39, 48, 49, 55, and 60, the combination of Tsukamoto et al. in view of Yim teaches wherein the altering is unique to the hardware platform/plurality of platforms (see col. 4, lines 2-5 of Yim, by using the serial number of a device for altering, wherein the serial number is unique (or at least relatively unique), the altering will be unique to each device, and therefore unique to the hardware platform.).

Regarding <u>claims 8, 19, 40, 50, 56, and 61</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches wherein the altering is based upon a serial number of the hardware platform (see col. 4, lines 2-5 of Yim).

Regarding <u>claims 9, 20, 41 51, 57, and 62</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches (a processor coupled to the system bus for) generating a random number upon power-up of the hardware platform, wherein the altering is based upon the random number (col. 4, lines 16-19).

Regarding <u>claim 12</u>, <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> teaches an apparatus for storing and retrieving digital video data (figure 2), comprising:

Art Unit: 2136

 A system bus configured to transfer data bits, the data bits forming a bit pattern (figure 2, reference numbers 103, 20 and 21A);

- An interface coupled to the system bus and configured to alter the bit pattern of the data bits (figure 2, reference numbers 22, 23A, and 25); and
- A hard disk drive coupled to the interface and configured to store the altered data bits (figure 2, reference number 40).

Tsukamoto et al. does not teach wherein the interface is configured to alter the bit pattern by one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits.

Yim teaches wherein the interface is configured to alter the bit pattern by one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine wherein the interface is configured to alter the bit pattern by one of inverting bits in selected bit positions of the data bits and scrambling bits in the selected bit positions of the data bits to prevent unauthorized use of the data bits, as taught by Yim, with the method/apparatus of Tsukamoto et al. It would have

been obvious for such modifications because inverting/scrambling bits in selected bit positions prevents the data from being copied off of a hard disk drive (see col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38 of Yim).

Regarding <u>claim 42</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches wherein the altered data bits are stored on a hard disk drive (see column 4, lines 19-28 of Tsukamoto et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 54 and 57</u>, <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> teaches a method for storing and retrieving digital data within a hardware platform (figure 2), the method comprising:

- Receiving a plurality of data bits, the data bits forming a bit pattern (figure 2, reference numbers 103 and 20);
- Altering the bit pattern (figure 2, reference number 22);
- Storing the altered bit pattern on a medium (col. 4, lines 19-21);
- Retrieving the stored altered bit pattern from the medium (col. 4, lines 21-24);
- Restoring the altered bit pattern by inverting the bits (figure 2, reference num 25);
 and
- Outputting the restored data bits (figure 2, reference numbers 26 and 105).

<u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> does not teach altering by inverting bits in a first selection of bit positions of the data bits/scrambling bits of selected bit positions of the data bits, and

Application/Control Number: 09/729,010

Art Unit: 2136

restoring the bits of the first selection of bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern/unscrambling the bits of the selected bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern.

Yim teaches altering by inverting bits in a first selection of bit positions of the data bits/scrambling bits of selected bit positions of the data bits, and restoring the bits of the first selection of bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern/unscrambling the bits of the selected bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine altering by inverting bits in a first selection of bit positions of the data bits/scrambling bits of selected bit positions of the data bits, and restoring the bits of the first selection of bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern/unscrambling the bits of the selected bit positions of the retrieved bit pattern, as taught by Yim, with the method/apparatus of Tsukamoto et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because inverting/scrambling bits in selected bit positions prevents the data from being copied off of a hard disk drive (see col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38 of Yim).

Regarding <u>claim 63</u>, <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> teaches a method for storing and retrieving digital data within a media receiver, the method comprising:

 Receiving a plurality of data bits from a service provider, the data bits forming a bit pattern (fig. 2, ref. num 103 and 20); Altering the bit pattern without receiving from the service provider a control signal indicative of a characteristic associated with storing the bit pattern on a medium (fig. 2, ref. num 22);

- Storing the altered bit pattern on the medium (fig. 2, ref. num 23A, 24, and 40);
- Retrieving the stored altered bit pattern from the medium (fig. 2, ref. num 25);
- Restoring the altered bit pattern (fig. 2, ref. num 25); and
- Outputting the restored bit pattern (fig. 2, ref. num 26 and 105).

<u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> does not teach wherein the altering comprises without receiving from the service provider a control signal indicative of a characteristic associated with storing the bit pattern on a medium.

Yim teaches wherein the altering comprises without receiving from the service provider a control signal indicative of a characteristic associated with storing the bit pattern on a medium (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine wherein the altering comprises wherein the altering comprises without receiving from the service provider a control signal indicative of a characteristic associated with storing the bit pattern on a medium, as taught by <u>Yim</u>, with the method/apparatus of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because the signal could be seen and compromised.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,010

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 69</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches wherein the media receiver comprises at least one of a tuning device, a digital video recording device, and a personal computer (see col. 3, lines 10-12 of Yim).

<u>Claim 58</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tsukamoto et al</u> (USPN '828) in view of <u>Yim</u> (USPN '387), and further in view of <u>Gannett</u> (U.S. Patent No. 3,944,745).

Regarding claim 58, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches all the limitations of claim 54, above. However, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> does not teach wherein the altering further comprises scrambling bits of a second selection of bit positions of the bit pattern, and the restoring further comprises unscrambling the bits of the second selection of bit positions of the retrieved altered bit pattern.

Gannett teaches wherein the altering further comprises scrambling bits of a second selection of bit positions of the bit pattern (fig. 7A), and the restoring further comprises unscrambling the bits of the second selection of bit positions of the retrieved altered bit pattern (fig. 7B).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine scrambling bits of a second selection of bit positions

Page 10

Art Unit: 2136

and unscrambling bits of the second selection, as taught by <u>Gannett</u>, with the method/apparatus of <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because a second round of scrambling will provide even more security. Although the reference shows an analog scrambling method, it would have been obvious to apply the method of <u>Gannett</u> to a digital data. Also, the concept of altering/scrambling selected bits is taught in claim 54, above. <u>Gannett</u> merely provides the missing feature, more precisely, <u>Gannett</u> teaches altering/scrambling a second selection of data and restoring a second selection of data.

<u>Claims 64-68</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Tsukamoto et al</u> (USPN '828) in view of <u>Yim</u> (USPN '387), and further in view of <u>LaBerge</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,795,931).

Regarding <u>claim 64</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al.</u> in view of <u>Yim</u> teaches all the limitations of claim 63, above. However, <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u> does not teach wherein altering the bit pattern comprises inverting at least one bit in a selected bit position of the data bits via at least one inverter.

<u>LaBerge</u> teaches wherein altering the bit pattern comprises inverting at least one bit in a selected bit position of the data bits via at least one inverter (fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine inverting the selected bits by an inverter, as taught by LaBerge, with the method/apparatus of Tsukamoto et al./Yim. It would have been obvious for such modifications because inverting bits provides a different result, which would alter the original data; this provides security of data.

Regarding claim 65, the combination of Tsukamoto et al. in view of Yim teaches all the limitations of claim 63, above. However, Tsukamoto et al./Yim does not teach wherein altering the bit pattern comprises: receiving the plurality of data bits from a bus, each of the plurality of data bits corresponding to one of a plurality of lines associated with the bus; selecting at least one of the plurality of lines to form a line selection; and inverting at least one of the plurality of data bits based on the line selection.

LaBerge teaches wherein altering the bit pattern comprises: receiving the plurality of data bits from a bus, each of the plurality of data bits corresponding to one of a plurality of lines associated with the bus (fig. 4, ref. num 312); selecting at least one of the plurality of lines to form a line selection (fig. 4, ref. num 310); and inverting at least one of the plurality of data bits based on the line selection (fig. 4, ref. num 400, 402).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine receiving data bits over a plurality of lines, selecting at least one line, and inverting the bits based on the line selection, as taught by <u>LaBerge</u>,

with the method/apparatus of <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u>. It would have been obvious for such modifications because inverting bits provides a different result, which would alter the original data; this provides security of data.

Regarding <u>claim 66</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u> in view of <u>LaBerge</u> teaches wherein selecting the at least one of the plurality of lines to form the line selection comprises selecting the at least one of the plurality of lines based on at least one of a random number and a serial number associated with the media receiver (see col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 38 of Yim).

Regarding <u>claim 67</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u> in view of <u>LaBerge</u> teaches wherein selecting the at least one of the plurality of lines to form the lines selection comprises controlling at least one of a plurality of multiplexers to form the line selection, and wherein each of the plurality of multiplexers corresponds to one of the plurality of lines (see fig. 4, ref. num 302, 304, 306 of LaBerge).

Regarding <u>claim 68</u>, the combination of <u>Tsukamoto et al./Yim</u> in view of <u>LaBerge</u> teaches wherein each of the plurality of lines is associated with an inverter (see fig. 4, ref. num 400, 402 of LaBerge).

Application/Control Number: 09/729,010 Page 13

Art Unit: 2136

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brade 9lip

BH

EMMANUEL L. MOISE
PRIMARY FXAMINER